

MESH EDGE REPORT

(7) vs (10) Kentucky Wildcats vs Santa Clara Broncos | Round of 64

March 20 | 12:15 PM ET | Enterprise Center, St. Louis | CBS

Kentucky Wildcats

Santa Clara Broncos

58%


42%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 26%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.031 | Prior SD: 0.035 | Unmapped: 3.2% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 3.2% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.031** -- a slight UK lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.035 (p05 = -0.026, p95 = 0.089).

Win prediction: UK 58% / SCU 42% (toss-up scenarios: 26%). UK has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 65.1% of outcomes; SCU has 2 path(s) totaling 31.8%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors UK (-0.57); one factor strongly favors SCU (+0.50); one factor meaningfully favors SCU (+0.39).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.031) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.035. p05 = -0.026, p95 = 0.089.
3. Multi-match rate: 71.8%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 3.2%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (26%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(8) vs (9) Clemson Tigers vs Iowa Hawkeyes | Round of 64

March 20 | 12:40 PM ET | Tampa, FL | truTV

Clemson Tigers

58%

Iowa Hawkeyes


42%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 22%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.030 | Prior SD: 0.053 | Unmapped: 5.0% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 6 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 5.0% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.030** -- dead neutral. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.053 (p05 = -0.053, p95 = 0.124).

Win prediction: CLEM 58% / IOWA 42% (toss-up scenarios: 22%). CLEM has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 48.8% of outcomes; IOWA has 3 path(s) totaling 46.2%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors IOWA (+0.45); one factor strongly favors IOWA (+0.41); one factor strongly favors IOWA (+0.41).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.030) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.053. p05 = -0.053, p95 = 0.124.
3. Multi-match rate: 62.5%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 5.0%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (22%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(5) vs (12) Texas Tech Red Raiders vs Akron Zips | Round of 64

March 20 | 12:40 PM ET | Tampa, FL | TBS

Texas Tech Red Raiders

50%

Akron Zips


50%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 17%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.034 | Prior SD: 0.059 | Unmapped: 4.9% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.9% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.034** -- a slight TTU lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.059 (p05 = -0.127, p95 = 0.066).

Win prediction: TTU 50% / AKRN 50% (toss-up scenarios: 17%). TTU has 2 favorable path(s) totaling 51.1% of outcomes; AKRN has 3 path(s) totaling 44.0%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors TTU (-0.43); one factor meaningfully favors AKRN (+0.40); one factor meaningfully favors AKRN (+0.33).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.034) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.059. p05 = -0.127, p95 = 0.066.
3. Multi-match rate: 63.0%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.9%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (17%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(3) vs (14) Purdue Boilermakers vs Queens Royals | Round of 64

March 20 | 1:00 PM ET | Enterprise Center, St. Louis | TNT

Purdue Boilermakers

83%

Queens Royals


17%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 14%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.390 | Prior SD: 0.098 | Unmapped: 4.7% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.7% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.390** -- a strong PUR lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.098 (p05 = -0.552, p95 = -0.231).

Win prediction: PUR 83% / QU 17% (toss-up scenarios: 14%). PUR has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 81.4% of outcomes; QU has 2 path(s) totaling 13.9%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors PUR (-0.52); one factor strongly favors QU (+0.50); one factor strongly favors PUR (-0.49).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.390) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.098. p05 = -0.552, p95 = -0.231.
3. Multi-match rate: 52.3%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.7%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (14%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(7) vs (10) UCLA Bruins vs UCF Knights | Round of 64

March 20 | 2:45 PM ET | Tampa, FL | CBS

UCLA Bruins

68%

UCF Knights


32%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 13%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.091 | Prior SD: 0.076 | Unmapped: 4.7% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 6 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.7% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.091** -- a slight UCLA lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.076 (p05 = -0.036, p95 = 0.210).

Win prediction: UCLA 68% / UCF 32% (toss-up scenarios: 13%). UCLA has 4 favorable path(s) totaling 68.1% of outcomes; UCF has 2 path(s) totaling 27.2%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors UCF (+0.47); one factor strongly favors UCLA (-0.47); one factor strongly favors UCF (+0.41).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.091) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.076. p05 = -0.036, p95 = 0.210.
3. Multi-match rate: 70.6%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.7%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (13%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(4) vs (13) Alabama Crimson Tide vs Hofstra Pride | Round of 64

March 20 | 3:15 PM ET | Tampa, FL | truTV

Alabama Crimson Tide

74%

Hofstra Pride


26%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 19%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.159 | Prior SD: 0.068 | Unmapped: 4.2% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 6 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.2% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.159** -- a moderate BAMA lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.068 (p05 = 0.047, p95 = 0.271).

Win prediction: BAMA 74% / HOF 26% (toss-up scenarios: 19%). BAMA has 4 favorable path(s) totaling 70.0% of outcomes; HOF has 2 path(s) totaling 25.6%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors BAMA (-0.55); one factor strongly favors HOF (+0.52); one factor strongly favors HOF (+0.49).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.159) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.068. p05 = 0.047, p95 = 0.271.
3. Multi-match rate: 62.7%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.2%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (19%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(2) vs (15) Iowa State Cyclones vs Tennessee State Tigers | Round of 64

March 20 | 2:50 PM ET | Enterprise Center, St. Louis | TBS

Iowa State Cyclones

83%

Tennessee State Tigers


17%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 11%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.315 | Prior SD: 0.081 | Unmapped: 3.0% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 3.0% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.315** -- a strong ISU lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.081 (p05 = -0.448, p95 = -0.179).

Win prediction: ISU 83% / TNST 17% (toss-up scenarios: 11%). ISU has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 82.2% of outcomes; TNST has 2 path(s) totaling 14.8%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors ISU (-0.59); one factor strongly favors ISU (-0.44); one factor strongly favors TNST (+0.40).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.315) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.081. p05 = -0.448, p95 = -0.179.
3. Multi-match rate: 51.7%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 3.0%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (11%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(4) vs (13) Kansas Jayhawks vs Cal Baptist Lancers | Round of 64

March 20 | 3:10 PM ET | Enterprise Center, St. Louis | TNT

Kansas Jayhawks

78%

Cal Baptist Lancers


22%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 15%

Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.190 | Prior SD: 0.068 | Unmapped: 4.7% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 6 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs

W2 Kansas survives a messy but still favorable game	Favors KU
39.50%	
W3 Cal Baptist hangs live into clutch range	Favors CBU
23.60%	
W1 Kansas controls through defense and structure	Favors KU
16.20%	
W5 Cal Baptist upset via shot-making breakthrough	Favors CBU
6.00%	
W6 Kansas blows it open with arc plus transition flow	Favors KU
5.70%	
W4 Cal Baptist upset via extra possessions and foul stress	Favors CBU
4.40%	

Unmapped outcomes: 4.7% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.190** -- a moderate KU lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.068 (p05 = 0.076, p95 = 0.305).

Win prediction: KU 78% / CBU 22% (toss-up scenarios: 15%). KU has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 61.4% of outcomes; CBU has 3 path(s) totaling 34.0%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors CBU (+0.59); one factor meaningfully favors KU (-0.38); one factor meaningfully favors CBU (+0.38).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.190) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.068. p05 = 0.076, p95 = 0.305.
3. Multi-match rate: 63.8%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.7%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (15%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(6) vs (11) Tennessee Volunteers vs Miami (OH) RedHawks | Round of 64

March 20 | 6:50 PM ET | Philadelphia, PA | CBS

Tennessee Volunteers

Miami (OH) RedHawks

73%


27%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 13%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.128 | Prior SD: 0.073 | Unmapped: 4.9% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.9% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.128** -- a moderate TENN lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.073 (p05 = -0.002, p95 = 0.240).

Win prediction: TENN 73% / M-OH 27% (toss-up scenarios: 13%). TENN has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 77.6% of outcomes; M-OH has 2 path(s) totaling 17.5%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors TENN (-0.52); one factor strongly favors TENN (-0.41); one factor meaningfully favors TENN (-0.40).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.128) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.073. p05 = -0.002, p95 = 0.240.
3. Multi-match rate: 60.0%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.9%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (13%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(1) vs (16) Arizona Wildcats vs LIU Sharks | Round of 64

March 20 | 7:10 PM ET | San Diego, CA | truTV

Arizona Wildcats

97%

LIU Sharks


3%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 7%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.508 | Prior SD: 0.077 | Unmapped: 4.4% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.4% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.508** -- a strong ZONA lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.077 (p05 = 0.369, p95 = 0.626).

Win prediction: ZONA 97% / LIU 3% (toss-up scenarios: 7%). ZONA has 4 favorable path(s) totaling 92.6% of outcomes; LIU has 1 path(s) totaling 3.0%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors LIU (+0.58); one factor strongly favors ZONA (-0.42); one factor meaningfully favors ZONA (-0.39).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.508) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.077. p05 = 0.369, p95 = 0.626.
3. Multi-match rate: 64.3%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.4%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (7%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(5) vs (12) St. John's Red Storm vs Northern Iowa Panthers | Round of 64

March 20 | 7:10 PM ET | San Diego, CA | TBS

St. John's Red Storm

Northern Iowa Panthers

61%


39%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 19%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.055 | Prior SD: 0.060 | Unmapped: 4.3% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 6 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.3% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.055** -- a slight SJU lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.060 (p05 = -0.045, p95 = 0.152).

Win prediction: SJU 61% / UNI 39% (toss-up scenarios: 19%). SJU has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 51.7% of outcomes; UNI has 2 path(s) totaling 35.4%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors SJU (-0.56); one factor meaningfully favors UNI (+0.34); one factor meaningfully favors UNI (+0.33).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.055) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.060. p05 = -0.045, p95 = 0.152.
3. Multi-match rate: 60.6%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.3%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (19%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(6) vs (14) Virginia Cavaliers vs Wright State Raiders | Round of 64

March 20 | 7:15 PM ET | Philadelphia, PA | TNT

Virginia Cavaliers

82%

Wright State Raiders


18%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 13%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.231 | Prior SD: 0.061 | Unmapped: 4.1% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.1% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.231** -- a moderate UVA lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.061 (p05 = -0.336, p95 = -0.134).

Win prediction: UVA 82% / WSU 18% (toss-up scenarios: 13%). UVA has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 83.0% of outcomes; WSU has 2 path(s) totaling 12.9%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors UVA (-0.70); one factor strongly favors WSU (+0.53); one factor meaningfully favors UVA (-0.34).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.231) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.061. p05 = -0.336, p95 = -0.134.
3. Multi-match rate: 61.9%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.1%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (13%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(1) vs (16) Florida Gators vs Prairie View A&M; Panthers | Round of 64

March 20 | 9:20 PM ET | San Diego, CA | CBS

Florida Gators

Prairie View A&M Panthers

95%


5%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 7%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.431 | Prior SD: 0.065 | Unmapped: 3.9% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 3.9% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.431** -- a strong UF lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.065 (p05 = 0.316, p95 = 0.529).

Win prediction: UF 95% / PVAM 5% (toss-up scenarios: 7%). UF has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 87.0% of outcomes; PVAM has 2 path(s) totaling 9.1%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors PVAM (+0.59); one factor strongly favors UF (-0.45); one factor meaningfully favors UF (-0.35).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.431) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.065. p05 = 0.316, p95 = 0.529.
3. Multi-match rate: 58.2%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 3.9%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (7%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(2) vs (15) UConn Huskies vs Furman Paladins | Round of 64

March 20 | 10:00 PM ET | Philadelphia, PA | truTV

UConn Huskies

91%

Furman Paladins


9%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 12%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.317 | Prior SD: 0.072 | Unmapped: 4.9% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.9% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.317** -- a strong UCON lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.072 (p05 = 0.197, p95 = 0.431).

Win prediction: UCON 91% / FUR 9% (toss-up scenarios: 12%). UCON has 4 favorable path(s) totaling 89.0% of outcomes; FUR has 1 path(s) totaling 6.2%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors UCON (-0.51); one factor strongly favors FUR (+0.51); one factor strongly favors FUR (+0.42).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.317) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.072. p05 = 0.197, p95 = 0.431.
3. Multi-match rate: 61.6%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.9%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (12%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(9) vs (8) Utah State Aggies vs Villanova Wildcats | Round of 64

March 20 | 9:40 PM ET | San Diego, CA | TBS

Utah State Aggies

69%

Villanova Wildcats


31%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 22%

Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: -0.062 | Prior SD: 0.034 | Unmapped: 5.0% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 5 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs

W2 Utah State wins the variance war	Favors USU
42.50%	
W4 Villanova disrupts Utah State's offensive structure	Favors NOVA
24.10%	
W1 Utah State controls through guard stability and downhill pressure	Favors USU
12.00%	
W5 Whistle-distorted coin flip	Favors USU
10.00%	
W3 Villanova flips it with shooting and glass leverage	Favors NOVA
6.40%	

Unmapped outcomes: 5.0% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **-0.062** -- a slight USU lean. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.034 (p05 = -0.001, p95 = 0.114).

Win prediction: USU 69% / NOVA 31% (toss-up scenarios: 22%). USU has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 64.5% of outcomes; NOVA has 2 path(s) totaling 30.5%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors USU (-0.62); one factor strongly favors NOVA (+0.44); one factor meaningfully favors NOVA (+0.34).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (-0.062) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.034. p05 = -0.001, p95 = 0.114.
3. Multi-match rate: 49.3%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 5.0%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (22%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.

MESH EDGE REPORT

(7) vs (10) Miami Hurricanes vs Missouri Tigers | Round of 64

March 20 | 10:10 PM ET | Enterprise Center, St. Louis | TNT

Miami Hurricanes

Missouri Tigers

47%


53%

Win prediction



Toss-up scenarios: 24%


Distribution of simulated outcomes



50,000 mesh simulations | Expected tilt: 0.020 | Prior SD: 0.037 | Unmapped: 4.2% | Win % from histogram bins (split at tilt = 0, sums to 100%)

World Distribution — 7 Scenarios

50,000 Monte Carlo runs



Unmapped outcomes: 4.2% of simulations did not map to a named scenario.

Analysis

The mesh produces an expected tilt of **0.020** -- dead neutral. Prior sensitivity SD is 0.037 (p05 = -0.079, p95 = 0.041).

Win prediction: MIA 47% / MIZ 53% (toss-up scenarios: 24%). MIA has 3 favorable path(s) totaling 49.1% of outcomes; MIZ has 4 path(s) totaling 46.8%.

Among the top three sensitivity drivers, one factor strongly favors MIZ (+0.61); one factor strongly favors MIA (-0.46); one factor meaningfully favors MIA (-0.35).

Caveats

1. Expected tilt (0.020) is a directional lean, not a calibrated probability.
2. Prior sensitivity SD = 0.037. p05 = -0.079, p95 = 0.041.
3. Multi-match rate: 65.6%. Worlds overlap; probabilities are approximate.
4. Unmapped rate: 4.2%.
5. Win percentages computed from real histogram bins split at tilt = 0. Always sums to 100%.
6. Toss-up (24%) = bins within ± 0.05 of zero. Footnote only.
7. The mesh does not model totals, pace, or player stat lines.
8. This is analysis, not financial advice.